**GLSEN**°

## **State Report Card: Minnesota**

**Minnesota has 35,000 LGBTQI+ youth aged 13-17, including 3,000 transgender youth.**<sup>1</sup> Most LGBTQI+ students in Minnesota experienced anti-LGBTQI+ bullying, including 57% who were verbally harassed because of their sexual orientation and 25% who were

physically harassed or assaulted because of their gender identity.<sup>2</sup> 55% of victimized LGBTQI+ youth never reported harassment to school authorities. In addition, 53% experienced at least one form of anti-LGBTQI+ discrimination in schools, which disproportionately impacts transgender and nonbinary students.

GLSEN recommends Four Supports to foster safer schools where all students can thrive: comprehensive policies to address harassment and discrimination, inclusive learning, supportive school staff, and GSAs and LGBTQI+ Youth Leadership. This resource assesses how state policies impact access to the Four Supports. Last Updated: 11/27/2024



GLSEN recommends: Comprehensive Policies	Restrictive Policies (If Any)
<ul> <li>Law prohibits sexual orientation and gender identity discrimination in schools: MN HF2497 (2023); MN HF585 (1993)</li> <li>Law prohibits bullying based on sexual orientation and gender identity: MN HF826 (2014).</li> <li>Law or regulation affirms equal opportunity and access for trans students % Minnesota has trans inclusive guidance: MDE Toolkit (2017).</li> <li>Minnesota sets a standard for providing gender neutral bathrooms: MN HF2497 (2023).</li> <li>Interscholastic athletics are inclusive of trans students: MSHSL Bylaw 300.3.A (2016).</li> <li>Federal laws prohibit anti-LGBTQI+ discrimination and bullying schools.</li> </ul>	Minnesota does not restrict school boards from adopting recommended policies.
GLSEN recommends: Inclusive Learning	Restrictive Policies (If Any)
<ul> <li>LGBTQI+ inclusive core curricular standard</li> <li>LGBTQI+ inclusive sex education standard</li> <li>LGBTQI+ inclusive instructional materials standard</li> <li>Protect against discriminatory book bans/removals: <u>MN SF3567 (2024)</u></li> </ul>	Minnesota does not restrict school boards from adopting recommended policies.
GLSEN recommends: Supportive School Staff	Restrictive Policies (If Any)
<ul> <li>Educator prep standards address LGBTQI+ inclusion</li> <li>School staff training requirements address LGBTQI+ inclusion</li> </ul>	Minnesota does not restrict school or professional standards boards from adopting recommended policies.
GLSEN recommends: GSAs and LGBTQI+ Youth Leadership	Restrictive Policies (If Any)
<ul> <li>Support LGBTQI+ inclusive school clubs (e.g. GSAs)</li> <li>LGBTQI+ youth inform education policies and programs</li> <li>The federal Equal Access Act protects LGBTQI+ affirming secondary student clubs.</li> </ul>	Minnesota does not restrict school boards from adopting recommended policies.

## How To Read This Table:

- An adopted LGBTQI+ supportive state policy that GLSEN recommends
- A LGBTQI+ supportive policy the state can adopt that GLSEN recommends
- \* An adopted, partially supportive state policy (e.g., policy encourages, but does not set a standard for LGBTQI+ supportive local policies or practices)
- An adopted state policy that partially restricts local LGBTQI+ supportive policies or practices
- $\mathbf{X}$  An adopted state policy that fully restricts local LGBTQI+ supportive policies or practices



glsen.us/statereportcard

## **About this State Report Card**

GLSEN analyzes state policies,<sup>3</sup> including laws and regulations that impact LGBTQI+ youth in K-12 schools based on available research, including the National School Climate Survey (NSCS) of LGBTQI+ students.<sup>4</sup> GLSEN recommends state policies that set a standard for LGBTQI+ supportive local policies or practices. LGBTQI+ youths' experiences in schools ultimately reflect local practices, but state policies shape what is possible, encouraged, and prioritized locally. School boards adopt curriculum and policies that implement local priorities and applicable state policies. Teachers, administrators, and other school staff implement curriculum and state and local policies in classrooms, hallways, playing fields, and beyond. In every state, <u>federal laws</u>, including Title IX and the U.S. Constitution's Equal Protection Clause, protect equal education opportunity for LGBTQI+ students.

See <u>GLSEN State Report Card Appendix</u> for full methodology and related resources, including model policies. For questions or to report a missing policy, email <u>GLSENresearch@glsen.org</u>.

Rubric	
Λ	A state meets GLSEN's expectations when the state has: • Adopted recommended policies (♥) in at least 3 of GLSEN's Four Supports; AND • No policies that restrict LGBTQI+ inclusive and supportive K-12 schools (♥ or !).
Meets Expectations	Meeting expectations indicates that state policies set a standard for schools that are both affirming as well as safe and nondiscriminatory for LGBTQI+ youth. This is an accomplishment, but ongoing work is needed to ensure supportive policies are implemented and improve conditions for LGBTQI+ youth.
	+/- Considerations: States will be evaluated annually for their ongoing efforts to implement supportive policies and/or to advance new, affirming policies.
<b>B</b> Arriving at Expectations	A state is arriving at GLSEN's expectations when the state has: • Adopted recommended policies (♥) in only 1 or 2 of GLSEN's Four Supports and/or the state has any partially restrictive policies (!); AND • No policies that fully restrict access to LGBTQI+ inclusive and supportive K-12 schools (♥).
	Arriving at expectations indicates that state policies set a standard of safe, nondiscriminatory schools for LGTBQI+ youth, but additional steps are needed for schools to be proactively affirming.
	+/- Considerations: States are evaluated for the spread of supportive policies across GLSEN's Four Supports. States with supportive policies in 3+ of the Four Supports receive a B+. States with supportive policies in 2 of the Four Supports receive a B. States with supportive policies in 1 of the Four Supports receive a B
<b>C</b> Does Not Meet Expectations	A state does not meet GLSEN's expectations when the state has either: • Both recommended policies (♥) and fully restrictive policies (♥) and the number of recommended supportive policies (♥) is greater than or equal to the number of fully restrictive policies (♥); OR • Neither recommended supportive policies (♥) nor fully restrictive policies (♥).
	Not meeting expectations indicates state policies fail to set a standard for safe, nondiscriminatory schools for LGBTQI+ youth and thus provide no or minimal guidance to individual school districts, educators, and families in supporting their LGBTQI+ students.
	+/- Considerations: States are evaluated for all steps taken towards more–or less–safe, inclusive schools. Policies are assigned points as follows: +2 for each recommended policy, +1 for each partially supportive policy, -1 for each partially restrictive policy, and -2 for each fully restrictive policy. A positive point total earns a C+, 0 earns a C, and a negative point total earns a C
D	A state is departing from GLSEN's expectations when it has fully restrictive policies (X) and either: • Has some supportive policies (✓ or *), but the number of fully restrictive policies (X) is greater than the number of recommended supportive policies (✓); OR • No more than 2 policies that fully restrict access to LGBTQI+ inclusive and supportive K-12 schools (X).
Departing From Expectations	Departing from expectations indicates that a state's policies discourage safe, nondiscriminatory K-12 schools for LGBTQI+ youth, creating substantial challenges for individual school districts, educators, and families in supporting LGBTQI+ students.
F	A state is opposing GLSEN's expectations when: • It has no supportive policies for LGBTQI+ youth (♥ or ★) AND • It has more than 2 policies that fully restrict equal educational opportunity for LGBTQI+ youth (★).
Opposing Expectations	Opposing expectations indicates that state policies are actively hostile towards the goal of safe, nondiscriminatory schools for LGBTQI+ youth , greatly burdening individual school districts, educators, and families working towards this goal.

<sup>1</sup> Estimate from a 2020 Williams Institute study, which also shows that a majority of transgender youth identify as LGB (<u>https://williamsinstitute.law.ucla.edu/publications/lgbt-youth-pop-us/</u>). These numbers likely underestimate the state's LGBTQ+ youth population. The Williams Institute's <u>2022 transgender population study</u> resulted in higher estimates for trans youth nationally and in almost every state.

<sup>2</sup> GLSEN. (2023). School Climate for LGBTQ+ Students in Florida. New York: GLSEN., <u>https://maps.glsen.org/state-research-snapshots/</u>, % physically harassed or assaulted includes% pushed, shoved, kicked, punched, or threatened with a weapon. % who did not report harassment includes all forms of harassment, including anti-LGBTQ+ harassment. Findings refer to students' experiences during the 2020-21 school year.

<sup>3</sup>State policy data collected by GLSEN, <u>Movement Advancement Project</u>, <u>SIECUS: Sex Ed for Social Change</u>, <u>TransAthlete.com</u>.

<sup>4</sup> GLSEN. National School Climate Survey Report Archive. <u>https://www.glsen.org/learn/research/nscs-archive</u>.